

18 Aug 2022

Dear Editor(s),

PAPER AFTER REVIEW

Thank you for giving an opportunity to revise our paper entitled "Initial Survey Validation for the Impact of Intellectual Capital on Frugal Innovation". Response and corrections made are as attached.

We have revised to the best of our knowledge and addressed all reviews. We thank you again for this opportunity and looking forward to receive favourable reply.

Thank You.

Yours Faithfully



ASSOC. PROF. DR. MAGISWARY DORASAMY
Deputy Dean
Research and Industrial Collaboration (RIC)
Faculty of Management
Multimedia University
Persiaran Multimedia, 63100 Cyberjaya
Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Authors

Magiswary Dorasamy

Jayamalathi Jayabalan

Murali Raman

Responses to Review:

Section	Reviewers and Comments	Response
	Reviewer 1	
Format	The writing format is inconsistent between pages, and does not conform to the IJTech format. Must be rewritten and conform to the IJTech format.	Thank you for the comment.
	The font used must be consistent, and conform to the IJTech format. The fonts used in Table 5 are different.	Thank you for the comment. We have corrected the paper with correct formatting.
	<p>The writing of this paper is very disorganized, making it difficult to read.</p> <p>Why is subsection 4.3 written in bold?</p> <p>In sub-section 4.3 why is there 1.1 Descriptive Analysis? If it will only contain 1 sub-sub-section, there is no need to write it like that, unless it will consist of more than one sub-sub-section.</p>	Apologies for the oversight. We have corrected the subsections and flow of the numbering. We have removed the sub-sub sections.
General	<p>You must read and learn to write a good paper, so that your paper can be accepted in a good journal.</p> <p>This paper only contains descriptive of the respondents and the results of the questionnaire reliability test. No analysis at all, let alone novelty.</p>	Thank you for the comment. We have added more content page 13 to give detail discussions.

	It should be rewritten to show the analysis, and also the novelty of this paper should be explained clearly.	
Reviewer 2		
Introduction	You should explain "frugal innovation" better in order to better understanding to your research	Thank you for the comment. We have added more explanation for frugal innovation.
Methodology	The methodology should be explained more systematic	Thank you for your comment. We have improved this section now.
General	This paper is interesting but it should be followed by the scientific writing and appropriate methodology	Thank you for your comment. We have improved the paper further.